

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/662,135	09/12/2003	Michael C. Van Zandt	99-452-LL	9089
7590 07/26/2006			EXAMINER	
Steven J. Sarussi			ANDERSON, REBECCA L	
McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff 32nd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
300 S. Wacker Drive			1626	
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAIL ED. 07/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/662,135	VAN ZANDT, MICHAEL C.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Rebecca L. Anderson	1626		

	Rebecca L. Anderson	1626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	out prior to the data of filing a briaf	will not be entered by	0001100
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	, ,	ected claims.	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) abjected described the control of the claim (s) and the control of the claim (s) and the control of the claim (s) abjected described the control of the claim (s) abjected described the control of the claim (s) and the claim (s) and the control of the claim (s) and the control of the claim (s) and the claim (s		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ied.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	
13.	1		aen
	K I	CAMAL A. SAEED, PH.I) . '

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Specifically, while the amendments to the claims would overcome the objection, the withdrawn claims, which would be subject to rejoinder would require further consideration and or search as these claims would be rejected under 35 USC 112 1st paragraph for lacking enablement.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the withdrawn claims, subject to rejoinder would be subject to a 35 USC 112 first paragraph rejection.